

**269 IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19698-2017

Date of decision: 09.10.2017.

Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh & Ors.

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Balraj Singh Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate, Addl. PP for the State of UT.

Mr. Vijay Deep, Advocate for the respondent No.-3.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J.

In this petition, the petitioner who is accused in F.I.R. No.168 dated 23.07.2016, under Sections 420/120 Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Mani Majra, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of FIR with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, on the basis of compromise.

2. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Mutual compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of Statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, vide report dated 08.09.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondent No.3 is represented by his counsel.

4. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

Chandigarh, and in view of the decision of the Supreme Court in “*Gian*

Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and *“Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”*, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.168 dated 23.07.2016, under Sections 420/120 Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Mani Majra, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

October 09, 2017

P.Sharma

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No