

259 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 19678 of 2017
Date of Decision: 19.09.2017**

Akhil Sharma @ Dimpu and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

 Mr. S.S. Cheema, A.A.G., Punjab,
 for respondent No. 1.

 Mr. Shivenderpal Singh, Advocate,
 for respondent No. 2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

 In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No. 337, dated 31.07.2016, under Sections 387, 420, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending before the Investigating Officer, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Affidavit (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by her. Copy of the compromise was ordered to be given to the

Investigating Officer to verify the same and report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. However, report is not sent by the Investigating Officer.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by her counsel.

[4]. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No. 337, dated 31.07.2016, under Sections 387, 420, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

19.09.2017

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No