

CRM-M-19623-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19623-2017

Date of Decision: 02.06.2017

Parmod

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Parmod has filed this 2nd petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.470 dated 21.11.2016, registered at Police Station Dadri Sadar, District Bhiwani, under Sections 279, 304, 337 and 427 IPC, Section 3 of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984 (challan presented under Sections 279, 304, 337, 338 and 427 IPC and Section 3 of the Prevention of Damages to Public Property Act, 1984).

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

CRM-M-19623-2017

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the prosecution version, the petitioner was driving 10 tyres' tralla in a rash and negligent manner and in an over-loaded condition and firstly he hit his vehicle in a bus and many passengers sustained grievous injuries and one of the passengers namely Raju died on the spot. Thereafter, the petitioner hit his vehicle in a car and the passengers sitting in that car also sustained serious injuries. Thereafter, the petitioner also struck his vehicle with two tractors which caused heavy damages to them and one tractor driver also sustained serious injuries. Thereafter, the tralla turned turtle and the petitioner ran away from the spot.

Learned State counsel submitted that some other persons have also died.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and nature and gravity of the offence, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

02.06.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No