

CRM-M-19608-2017 (O & M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19608-2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 02.06.2017

Gurvinder alias Lali

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

CRM-18501-2017

Instant application has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
for addition of Section 447 IPC in the head-note of the main petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that Section
447 IPC could not be mentioned in the head-note of the petition due to
inadvertence and prayed that the same be read in the head-note of the
petition.

Heard.

In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, the
same is allowed. Registry is directed to add Section 447 IPC in the
head-note of the petition and necessary additions in this regard be made.

CRM-M-19608-2017 (O & M)

CRM-M-19608-2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that Section 325 IPC has been added subsequently and it could not be mentioned in the head-note of the petition and, therefore, the same be read in the head-note of the main petition.

In view of oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, it is directed that Section 325 IPC be read in the head-note of the petition. Registry is directed to carry out necessary additions in this regard.

Petitioner-Gurvinder alias Lali has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.149 dated 13.08.2016, registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427, 447, 452, 307, 120-B and 506 IPC, Section 325 IPC added later on and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion has been issued in this petition. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that all the injuries received by the injured are mainly fall under Sections 323 and 325 IPC. Although, the

CRM-M-19608-2017 (O & M)

petitioner has been stated to be armed with fire arm weapon and has also fired, but no firearm injury has been caused to anybody.

The petitioner has been in custody since 04.05.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

02.06.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No