

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19597-2017

Date of decision: July 24, 2017.

Harpreet Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Mrs. Satwant Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Shri Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. Dy. AG, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Rule. Heard forthwith.

There is a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail. Heard learned counsel for the rival parties in FIR No.42 dated 8.2.2012, under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Rajasansi, District Amritsar (Rural).

It is not in dispute that after investigation in the aforesaid FIR, the police had filed a cancellation report before the trial court. By the impugned order, the trial court has refused to accept the cancellation report and held that there is sufficient evidence against the accused Harpreet Singh and it is on the basis of that finding that the trial court has issued summons to the petitioner. Upon perusal of the reasons given by the trial court and looking to the fact that the cancellation report was filed in respect of the FIR that was lodged in the year 2012, I think the petitioner should be released on anticipatory bail.

In the result, the petition is allowed. Bail to the petitioner to the satisfaction of the trial court.

July 24, 2017.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No