

CRM-M-19596-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19596-2017
Date of Decision: 21.08.2017

Ravinder @ Monu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Ravinder @ Monu has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.426 dated 15.07.2015, registered at Police Station Sadar Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, under Sections 449, 302, 216 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25, 27 and 29 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion has been issued in this case. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that allegation

CRM-M-19596-2017

against the petitioner is that he gave the weapon used in the crime to the main accused about 8-9 months prior to the occurrence.

There is no allegation in respect of offences under Section 302 IPC etc. against the accused, rather attribution to him is in respect of giving the weapon used in the crime to the main accused about 8-9 months prior to the occurrence.

Moreover, the petitioner has been in custody since 01.09.2015. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

21.08.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No