

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRL. MISC. No.M-19551 OF 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 26th MAY, 2017

Mohd Raasid & another

.... **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Pardeep Sihmar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M. D. Khan, Advocate
for brother-Irfan of petitioner No.2-Fatma.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel for brother of petitioner No.2 appears and categorically stated that his sister-Fatma was allured to get married with petitioner No.1, whereas, as a matter of fact petitioner No.1 is already having wife and two children.

The balance of equity does not deserve any order in favour of the petitioners. The petition is, therefore, dismissed.

26th MAY, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes* *No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes* *No*