

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 1953 of 2017
Date of Decision : 20.07.2017**

Saddik

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has impugned order dated 06.09.2015 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ferozepur Jhirka, whereby application filed by the private respondents under Section 439 Cr.P.C. was allowed on the same date as well as the order dated 02.11.2016, whereby revision there against was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that both the private respondents were proclaimed offenders but the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, had allowed their application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. on the same date, when it was filed.

The respondents are stated to be accused in FIR registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 326, 506 IPC. It is apparent from perusal of the impugned orders that the private respondents had been ordered to be released on bail by the trial Court on 06.09.2015 and they are appearing in the trial Court on each and every date and have not misused the concession of bail. Therefore, I do not find any justification in interfering with the impugned order granting bail to the private respondents.

The petition stands dismissed.

July 20th, 2017.
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ?
Whether reportable ?

Yes/No
Yes/No