

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-195 of 2017

Date of Decision: 10.01.2017

Nihal Kumar and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Ms. Pooja Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to the respondents No.1 to 4 not to implicate petitioner No.1 and his family members in some false case at the behest of private respondents. Marriage between the petitioners was solemnized on 31.12.2016. Petitioner No.2 was Mohammedan before marriage. Petitioners alleged that petitioner No.2 got her name changed from Gulafsha to Sanjana before marriage and after due conversion from Muslim to Hindu, marriage was solemnized. Even though there was no representation filed before the Police Authorities for protection of their lives, still the petition itself can be considered to be the representation in order to avoid any untoward incident.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl,

AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

At this stage, this Court is not in a position to comment upon the validity or otherwise of the marriage, nor the same is required for the purposes of obligating the respondent No.2 to consider the merits of the claim made by the petitioners in this petition.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and also evolve his own mechanism to ascertain the genuineness of the claim of the petitioners and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, without being influenced by any of the observations made by this Court in preceding paras.

Disposed of.

10.01.2017
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No