

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.19469 of 2017
Date of decision: 2nd June, 2017

Parmod

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Allegations against the petitioner Parmod in this regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.108 dated 29.03.2016 registered at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat under Sections 395/412 IPC, are that on the intervening night of 27th and 28th March, 2016, complainant Vijay driver on vehicle make Canter Tata-1109 bearing registration No.HR73-3467 was coming along with 600 bags each weighing 25 kgs of rice and were waylaid in the area of village Gangana, District Sonapat by 3/4 boys in a car who looted the entire goods and subsequently were identified as petitioner Parmod, his co-accused Sandeep son of Ram Kumar, Sonu and Sandeep son of Ranbir who had sold the articles to Siddharth and Jai Parkash accused. From the

possession of Siddharth 20 bags of rice along with sale proceeds amounting to Rs.1,77,000/- was recovered and from Jai Parkash 300 bags of rice along with Rs.3.00 lacs in cash as sale proceeds were recovered.

Contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner Mr.Gaurav Grover, Advocate are that the petitioner was neither apprehended nor identified at the spot and there is nothing which could connect him with the commission of crime.

The bail application is sought to be opposed by learned State counsel Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana on the grounds that the petitioner along with his co-accused are running a gang of robbers who loot goods on the main GT road and sell it to their co-accused Siddharth and Jai Parkash and thus, in view of the heinousness of the offence was not entitled to bail.

Appreciating the submissions, as is the stand of the State, from the possession of petitioner Parmod Rs.55,000/- being the price of sold articles so looted has been recovered and so is the amount from Sandeep son of Ranbir, Sonu and Sandeep son of Ram Kumar and as has been argued before this Court the accused are involved in other criminal cases of similar nature. In the light of contentions that investigations are at crucial stage and if allowed bail petitioner would certainly influence the witnesses and in the light of seriousness of allegations and

heinousness of the offence, does not call for grant of any relief. Finding no merit in the present petition the same stands dismissed.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

June 2, 2017
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No