

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-19460 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.06.2017

Sukhwant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. O.P. Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.  
assisted by ASI Karam Singh.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 57 dated 28.05.2015, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC, at Police Station Muktsar Sadar, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

It is contended that the dispute is inter se the father and son. The learned counsel refers to Annexure P-2, the compromise to contend that the parties have compromised the matter.

The learned counsel for the intervener to whom the land in question was subsequently sold by the petitioner states that the petitioner has issued two cheques amounting to Rs. 21 lakh and Rs.27

lakh respectively in his favour and at this stage, he does not oppose the present bail application. The photocopy of cheques are taken on record as Mark 'A' and Mark 'B'.

The learned State counsel, on instructions admits the factum of compromise.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the parties have compromised the matter, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.06.2017  
*sumit.k*

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)  
JUDGE

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |