

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr.No.129)

CRM-M-19381-2017
Date of Decision:-May 26, 2017

Vinod KumarPetitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, Assistant A.G. Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner against the order made by the Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Hisar rejecting the application filed by the petitioner for rejection of the application under Section 133 of Cr.P.C. filed by the complainant.

The learned revisional Court entertained the revision ignoring the fact that the impugned order was an interlocutory order and also made observation on merits of the matter. Be that as it may, the learned Additional Sessions Judge should have been careful in finding out the issue about the jurisdiction before going into the merits of the matter. At any rate, the proceedings are pending before the Sub Divisional Magistrate. There is no reason to interfere with those proceedings. The proceedings before the Magistrate are, therefore, expedited. The petitioner shall raise all points before the Sub Divisional Magistrate.

Disposed of.

May 26, 2017

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

pankaj
Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No