

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.19372 of 2017

Pardeep Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

2. CRM-M No.20121 of 2017

Ankush

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

Date of decision: 11th September, 2017

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Since both these regular bail applications one by petitioner Pardeep Kumar and the other by petitioner Ankush have arisen from the same very case registered by way of FIR No.214 dated 19.10.2016 under Sections 379-B, 325 IPC at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamunanagar, are thus being taken up together for disposal for the sake of brevity.

Allegations against the petitioners in the present case are that on 14.10.2016 the petitioners while going on a motorcycle happens to waylay the complainant Anil Kumar and forcibly took out Rs.10,000/- from the pocket of the trousers worn by him leading to registration of the present case and it is subsequent thereto the petitioners were arrested on 22.10.2016.

Contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioners are that the petitioners are behind bars since a long time and that the only semblance of evidence is the allegations that the number plate of the alleged motorcycle was recovered from the place of occurrence and that even the complainant has resiled in the Court.

The bail applications have been stoutly opposed by learned State counsel Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana on the grounds of heinousness of the offence but he accepts that it is the only criminal case being faced by the petitioners.

Appreciating the submissions of both sides, keeping in view the period of incarceration and without feeling necessity to advert on to the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that culpability if any, shall be determined at the time of trial which is not likely to conclude in near future and further detention of the petitioners in the present case is unwarranted. Accordingly, they are ordered to be released on regular bail

on furnishing of two bail bonds with heavy sureties each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Yamunanagar.

Both the petitions stand disposed off accordingly.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 11, 2017
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No