

CRM-M-1934-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 23.02.2017

Sadhu Singh Randhawa

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. H.S. Deol, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Pal, Asstt.A.G., Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

This application is practically for modifying the condition of regular bail directing that the petitioner will not leave India during the pendency of the trial and will deposit his passport with the trial Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the fact that there is no absolute bar imposed upon the petitioner to leave India and he was simply required to deposit his passport with the trial Court, this petition is disposed of with a clarification that since the request of the petitioner to leave India during the pendency of the trial has to be considered by the trial Court, the discretion to release the passport will also vest in the trial Court.

This petition is disposed of with a further clarification that in case, the petitioner moves an application for permission to leave India during the pendency of the trial, mentioning the specific circumstances, the said application will be considered objectively and in case, the trial

Court is of the opinion that the petitioner can be permitted to leave India for a particular duration, it will be the discretion of the trial Court to release the passport to the petitioner with a condition that the passport will be redeposited with the Court subject to any other conditions to be imposed by the trial Court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

23.02.2017
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No