

318

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19309 of 2017

Date of decision: August 08, 2017

Kamal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.229 dated 11.05.2017, under Sections 332, 353, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Shahbad, District Kurukshetra, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 26.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 26.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 08, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No