

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-19306 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 04.09.2017**

Mahinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. B. S. Seemar Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Inder Pal Singh Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.104 dated 05.11.2016 under Sections 452/427/506/34 IPC registered at Police Station Sudhar, District Ludhiana (Rural) (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is the result of a dispute between brothers over inheritance, which has now been resolved.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 30.05.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to the veracity of compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagraon, has sent his report dated 19.07.2017, wherein it is

stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a dispute between brother over inheritance, which has now been resolved

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.104 dated 05.11.2016 under Sections 452/427/506/34 IPC registered at Police Station Sudhar, District Ludhiana (Rural) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 04, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes /	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes /	No