

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-19266 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 31.05.2017

Ravi Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Dev Kumar.

Mr. Rajinder Singla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought regular bail in FIR No. 268 dated 13.04.2017, registered under Section 379-A of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, District Karnal.

It is contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present FIR. Allegedly a cycle has been shown to be recovered from the petitioners. The petitioners are of young age and they are not involved in any other FIR. The petitioners are in custody since 14.04.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

The learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the petitioners are not the persons who allegedly snatched away the amount from him.

Heard.

Considering the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2/complainant, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

31.05.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No