

**101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19219-2017

Date of decision-03.07.2017

Mukesh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.680 dated 19.11.2015 registered under Sections 364-A and 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Sadar, Palwal.

This is a third bail application. Earlier, the first one was dismissed on 15.07.2016 whereas the second was ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn on 01.03.2017. The present petition has been filed on the ground that during the interregnum period a compromise has been reached between the parties. However, this Court cannot ignore the factum that the FIR was registered on 19.11.2015. Thereafter, despite dismissal of the bail petition twice by this Court, the petitioner did not surrender to the process of the Court.

In view of the above aforesaid circumstances and conduct of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to visit the compromise, the veracity of

which is yet to be proved.

Consequently, the application for grant of pre-arrest bail stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

July 03, 2017

vanita

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No