

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-19199 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 01.06.2017

Amit

.... PETITIONER

Versus

State of Haryana

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. Bijender Dhankar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Mr. Dhankar, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that as in the case of a co-accused, i.e. Satyawar, the present petitioner Amit was also in custody on the night of 17/18.10.2016 when the occurrence in the FIR in the present case is stated to have taken place.

Learned State counsel, on query, does not dispute the aforesaid fact and on specific query as to whether there was any jail offence by him, by the use of a mobile phone when he was in custody, that too has been replied in the negative.

The aforesaid Satyawar has already been admitted to anticipatory bail by this court, in CRM-M-18248-2017.

Consequently, in the aforesaid circumstances, this petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the

trial Court, further subject to any condition that would be laid down by that Court.

However, it is made clear that nothing observed hereinabove will be taken to be a comment on the merits of the case, which would be gone into by the trial court after appraisal of the evidence led before it.

June 01, 2017
ndj

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No