

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM NO. M-19121 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 13.11.2017****Suresh Kumar****...Petitioner****versus****The State of Haryana and another****...Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present : Mr. Ravi Gakhar, Advocate for
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in complaint case No.218-1C of 2016 dated 14.06.2016 under Sections 420/467/468/471/506/120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner in the complaint are that he had wrongly made entry of the property in the name of one Gian Chand. He further contends that even as per the report made to the Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Bhiwani, a copy of which is annexed as Annexure P-6, the entry of the property was made in the name of Gian Chand in the year 2001-2002 although the petitioner had been posted for the first time in Bhiwani in the year 2010. It was, thus, made prior to the posting of petitioner at Bhiwani.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court, by the order dated 24.05.2017, had directed the petitioner to appear before the trial Court and on his doing so, he was ordered to be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance to the order dated 24.05.2017, the petitioner appeared before the trial Court and has been released on interim bail by the trial Court.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 24.05.2017, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 13, 2017

shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO