

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

262

CRM-M-19104 of 2017
Decided on : 12.07.2017

Parminder Singh @ Pindu and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present : Mr.J.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.H.S.Batth, Advocate for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of DDR No.19 dated 28.06.2015 (Annexure P/2) under Sections 324, 354, 148, 149, 326 IPC registered at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran which is being investigated in FIR No. 88, dated 27.06.2015 (Annexure P-1), registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149, 326 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise entered into between the parties.

Briefly stated that complainant party lodged the DDR in question as a cross case, against the petitioners in protest of FIR No.88 dated 27.06.2015 registered by the petitioners against the complainant party. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the dispute has been amicably

settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report dated 03.07.2017, has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patti stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one. It is also reported that no other case is pending between the parties.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.)*

543, this petition is allowed and DDR No.19 dated 28.06.2015 (Annexure P/2) under Sections 324, 354, 148, 149, 326 IPC registered at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran which is being investigated in FIR No. 88, dated 27.06.2015 (**Annexure P-1**), registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149, 326 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran and subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

[JAISHREE THAKUR]
JUDGE

12.07.2017

sd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No