

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -19048 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.09.2017

Suman Singh @ Soffy

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Kuldeep Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No. 269 dated 22.10.2015, registered under Sections 376 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Sultanwind, Amritsar City, District Amritsar.

On 25.05.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel, inter alia, contends that while granting regular bail to the father of the petitioner, vide order dated 08.07.2016 (Annexure P-4) it has been observed that the complainant was tenant in the house of the petitioner and the allegations of rape has been attributed to his father and two sons.

Notice of motion for 07.09.2017.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court and submit his bail bonds and the trial Court

is directed to accept the same.”

It is contended that in pursuance of order dated 25.05.2017, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has furnished bail/surety bonds.

The learned State counsel does not dispute the above said fact.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 25.05.2017, is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

07.09.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No