

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.201

CRM-M-18933-2017

Decided on : 01.06.2017

Renu Kapoor

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Ajay Ghangas, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Sharad Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of regular bail in FIR No.1103, dated 30.08.2014, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station City, District Panipat.

Seeking regular bail for the petitioner, learned counsel submits that the petitioner is a lady aged 46 years; she is in custody since 05.03.2017; there is no other criminal case in which she is involved; since challan has been filed, she is no longer needed for investigation purposes and that co-accused namely Parveen, who has been attributed an identical role as the petitioner, had earlier approached this Court through CRM-M-15865-2015, has been granted regular bail through order of this Court dated 20.05.2015.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the above factual position.

Since the petitioner is a lady aged 46 years; she is in custody since 05.03.2017; there is no other criminal case in which she is involved; since challan has been filed, she is no longer needed for investigation purposes and that co-accused namely Parveen, who has been attributed an identical role as the petitioner, has been granted regular bail through order of this Court dated

20.05.2015, I am of the opinion that the petitioner deserves to be admitted to regular bail.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

During the period that the bail is granted to the petitioner, if she is found indulging in any criminal/illegal activity or misusing the concession of bail granted by this Court, it would be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the bail granted to the petitioner.

01.06.2017
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No