

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-18771 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.05.2017

Vijay Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Jassa Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.27 dated 01.03.2017, registered under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran.

It is contended that the petitioner was arrested on 01.03.2017 for possessing 60 strips of Alprazolin (dig fresh), 20 strips of Tramark-SR-100 and 10 strips of Parvon spas. The FSL report has not been received so far.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail. She informs that the FSL report is still awaited.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the

record.

In view of the above and keeping in view the law laid down by a Division Bench of this Court in *Inderjeet Singh @ Laddi Vs. State of Punjab, 2014(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 953*, the Court feels that ends of justice would be met if the petitioner is allowed interim bail, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction CJM/Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.

However, if the chemical report is found to be positive, the same shall be intimated/forwarded to the petitioner who shall surrender before the competent Court within a week thereafter.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.05.2017
ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes / No
Whether reportable:	Yes / No