

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.18764 of 2017
Date of Decision: 24.05.2017

BHIM SINGH

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S.Matya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.1 to 3 for taking lawful action in terms of registration of FIR against respondents No.4 and 5.

Petitioner states that he is a retired bank officer. An agreement to sell was executed between the petitioner and respondent No.4 on 09.03.2016 in respect of plot No.1078 situated in Alfa International City. An amount of Rs.5 lac was received as earnest money by respondent No.4 and the sale deed was to be executed on 25.03.2016. Thereafter, number of extensions in respect of date of execution of sale deed were made at the instance of respondents No.4 and 5 and resultantly,

the sale deed was not executed.

It appears that the petitioner has approached this Court without adhering to the provision in terms of Section 154 (1) Cr.P.C and he has straightway filed joint representation before the Director General of Police and Superintendent of Police, Kuruskehtra.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ripudaman, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

Let the petitioner approach the Station House Officer, Police Station Sadar, Kurukshetra at the first instance by way of filing a complaint in the aforesaid subject matter. In the event of filing such complaint, the Station House Officer, Police Station Sadar, Kurukshetra would act in accordance with law. If some cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then the concerned SHO can be obligated to act in accordance with law and if no such offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioner can be informed in view of parameters as laid

down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Disposed of.

24.05.2017
jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No