

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRM-M-18744-2017
DATE OF DECISION:20.09.2017**

VEENA RANI

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has filed the status report in the form of affidavit of Naveen Kumar, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, wherein it is stated that the matter is listed before the trial Court on 30.09.2017 and the remaining prosecution witnesses shall be produced before the trial Court on that date.

Learned State counsel contends that every effort shall be made to examine the prosecution witnesses on the next date itself or as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition and prays that trial may be expedited.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

20.09.2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No