

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.18733 of 2017
Date of Decision: 24.05.2017

AJIT ARORA

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

It appears that the petitioner has filed a representation to respondent No.2 without adhering to Section 154(1) Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that by way of filing representation, a cognizable offence has been disclosed.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the

party is being passed.

Let a complaint be filed by the petitioner before respondent No.3 at the first instance. In the event of filing such a complaint, respondent No.3 would proceed to determine nature of the offence. If some cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then respondent No.3 would be obligated to act in accordance with law and if no such offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioner can be informed in view of parameters as laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Disposed of.

24.05.2017
jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No