

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-18684 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 24.05.2017**

Beero

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. T. V. S. Lehal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The allegation in the petition is that respondent No.4 did not disclose the factum of him being married earlier, and started living with the petitioner allegedly on the promise of marriage. However, now allegedly he has abandoned the petitioner and has started living with his wife.

Without making any comments whatsoever, on the merits of the contentions raised in this petition, or in the representation stated to have been made by the petitioner to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, on 13.03.2017, a copy of which is annexed Annexure P-3 with this petition, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, i.e. the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, to go into the matter and decide the representation, as is warranted by law.

It is again made clear that this Court has made absolutely no comment on the truth of the allegations raised in the petition or the representation and therefore, the representation would be decided by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, wholly on its own merits.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

MAY 24, 2017
Shalini/Poonam-II

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO

Whether reasoned : YES/NO