

CRM-M-18681-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18681-2017

Date of Decision: 02.06.2017

Harjinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. G.B.S.Dhillon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S.Salar, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Harjinder Singh has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.22 dated 27.03.2015, registered at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana, under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-18681-2017

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the statement of Harnek Singh alleging that a forged agreement has been prepared by Harbans Singh etc. and the present petitioner is a witness to that document. Perusal of the record shows that mutation was sanctioned later on by showing the presence of the complainant, but at that time, the complainant was present.

Keeping in view the allegation that documents of partition etc. have been got prepared by forging signatures of the complainant and in view of the fact that the present petitioner being attesting witness of the forged document, is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

02.06.2017

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No