

CRM-M-18669-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18669-2017

Date of Decision: 19.07.2017

Madan Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sarbjit Singh Khaira, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepinder Brar, APP for U.T. Chandigarh.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Madan Lal has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.10 dated 28.10.2015, registered at Police Station Vigilance Chandigarh (UT), under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned APP for U.T. Chandigarh has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned APP for U.T. Chandigarh and gone through the record.

As per the prosecution version, the present petitioner has used ration-card of one Rajinder Kumar and obtained hawker's licence

CRM-M-18669-2017

which was used for getting allotment of booth No.105, Sector 18, Chandigarh. The petitioner has also submitted a false affidavit.

Challan has already been presented against the present petitioner. He has been in custody since 03.03.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The case is still fixed for evidence and trial of the case will take a long time. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case. Moreover, all the offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

19.07.2017

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No