

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-18624 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.05.2017

Jarnail Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. S.S. Kainth, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for issuance of directions to the official respondents to look into the grievance of the petitioner as portrayed in a representation dated 25.04.2017 at Annexure P-4 addressed to Senior Superintendent of Police, District SAS Nagar, Mohali as also to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

Perusal of the representation at Annexure P-4 would reveal that there was a civil dispute between the present petitioner and the private respondents herein in respect of a plot measuring 20x66 feet situated at Village Mullanpur Garibdass, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali.

Apparently, petitioner has already resorted to availing of his civil remedy by instituting a suit for grant of permanent injunction before the competent Court and such suit has been decreed. As per averments made in the representation, the private respondents preferred an appeal before the 1st Appellate Court and the same was dismissed on 17.12.2014. Even RSA No.3128 of 2015 preferred by the private respondents has been dismissed by

this Court in the light of order dated 01.10.2015 at Annexure P-5.

Apparently, the petitioner has already earned a civil Court decree in his favour. The rights that may possibly flow from such decree cannot be a subject matter of examination and consideration in a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

As regards the payer of protection of life and liberty is concerned, the averments contained in the instant petition are absolutely vague. No specific instance has been averred and where from this Court may infer any threat perception.

In the totality of circumstances and based on pleadings on record, no interference is called for.

Petition is dismissed.

23.05.2017

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | No |