

CRM-M-19509-2016

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.250)

CRM-M-19509-2016

Date of Decision:-April 17, 2017

Bhupesh Sood @ Bhupa

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Varun Goyal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.18 dated 31.01.2016 registered under Sections 323/341/294/506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division No.4, District Ludhiana, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for respondent No.2 state that compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had made an order dated December 21, 2016 directing the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements, in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is report received from the District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise arrived at between the

parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to leave in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.18 dated 31.01.2016 registered under Sections 323/341/294/506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division No.4, District Ludhiana is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

April 17, 2017
Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No