

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 8644 of 2000
Date of decision: 09.08.2017

Pal Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. S.K. Sud, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions as the petitioner is no longer in touch with him. Respondent no. 3, against whom allegations of mala fide have been made, has not been served in spite of various opportunities given.

In view of the statement of counsel for the petitioner, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

09.08.2017
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No