

CRM-M-18595-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18595-2017 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 27.07.2017

Bakhshish Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. P.B.S.Goraya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kawaljyot Singh, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Bakhshish Singh has filed this 4th petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.75 dated 04.07.2010, registered at Police Station Valtoha, District Tarn Taran, under Sections 302, 307, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-18595-2017

From the record, I find that in the present case, Satnam Singh, who is main accused, has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 01.06.2017 passed in CRM-M-16791-2017. The present petitioner has been in custody since 23.07.2010 i.e. for about 07 years. It is stated that all the witnesses have already been examined in trial, but cross-version complaint filed by the petitioner is pending and both the cases are to be decided together. It will take long time for disposal of both these cases.

Keeping in view the custody period already undergone by the present petitioner and in view of the facts that there is no chance of tampering with the evidence by the petitioner as trial of the present case is already complete and as argued, the present case has been kept pending to be decided with the complaint case which will take long time for final disposal and on the ground of parity also, I find that no useful purpose will be served by further keeping the petitioner in custody. Therefore, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

27.07.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No