

218-a **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
 AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M- 18574 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : September 15, 2017

Robin Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Criminal Misc. No.M- 17683 of 2017 (O&M)

Sham Lal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Devinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No. 2.

LISA GILL, J.

This order shall dispose of two petitions i.e. Criminal Misc. Nos. M- 18574 and 17683 of 2017. The facts of the case are extracted from CRM-M-18574-2017 for the sake of convenience.

The petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 29 dated 17.03.2017 under Sections 498A, 406 IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, Ludhiana.

It is submitted that during the pendency of these petitions, the parties have amicably resolved the entire dispute between them on 13.07.2017. Settlement/agreement dated 13.07.2017 is attached with the file of CRM-M-18574-2017. It is informed that a petition under Section 13B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has been filed by the parties in pursuance to the settlement. Their statements at first motion have been recorded on

06.09.2017. Certified copies of their statements produced in Court today are taken on record subject to just exceptions.

The petitioners, it is submitted, have joined investigation pursuant to interim order dated 23.05.2017 (in CRM-M-18574-2017) and order dated 18.05.2017 (in CRM-M-17683-2017). A part of the settled amount has also been handed over to the complainant. It is, thus, prayed that these petitions be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Ravinder Kumar, verifies the factual position as above.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioners are likely to abscond or that they are likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above especially the settlement between the parties but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition. Consequently, order dated 23.05.2017 (in CRM-M-18574-2017) and order dated 18.05.2017 (in CRM-M-17683-2017). is made absolute.

However, liberty is afforded to respondent No.2 to move an appropriate application in this matter, in case the terms and conditions of settlement between the parties are not adhered to by the petitioners.

September 15, 2017
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No