

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18464 of 2017

Date of decision: August 10, 2017

Murli @ Nitin

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.30 dated 12.01.2014, under Sections 148, 149, 307, 364, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Gurgaon (Gurugram), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 22.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Trilok Chand states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 22.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 10, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No