

In the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh.

CRM-M-18446 of 2017

Date of decision: 26.05.2017

Sunder

..... Petitioner.

vs.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Amit Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tewari, Addl. A.G.Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH.J.(ORAL)

Learned State Counsel does not deny the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner on facts, to the effect that there was no injury caused to any person in the occurrence and nothing specifically was attributed to the petitioner. He also does not deny that in the other criminal case registered against the petitioner, he was acquitted of the charge framed against him.

Consequently, without making any opinion on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

26.05.2017
smriti

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No