

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18344-2017

Date of Decision: 22.05.2017

Tajinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner having jumped bail once, is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

However, the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is to the effect that the petitioner was not present only on one occasion before the Court.

In view of the above, upon the petitioner surrendering and making an application under Section 439 Cr.P.C., if the aforesaid contention is found to be correct by the trial Court, the application would be disposed of by the trial Court on merits within one day.

If, however, the aforesaid contention is found to be incorrect, the order directing that the application be disposed of within one day, will be deemed to have not been passed.

May 22, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.