

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18326 of 2017
Date of Decision: 04 July, 2017**

Baldev Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Dilpreet Singh Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Grewal, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this anticipatory bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C are that he along with his co-accused/non-applicants in all numbering 21 were carrying on notorious activities of smuggling narcotics, arms ammunition and fake currency etc. across the border to India.

The contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner that it is only a general accusation and no specific role is attributed to the petitioner and that the similarly placed co-accused have been allowed similar relief on different occasions and has placed reliance on Annexure P/2 and that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner.

Learned State counsel with all fairness has conceded to at bar that there is no specific allegations against the petitioner and all the allegations are general in nature.

In view thereof and keeping in view the principle of parity and that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner the present

petitions stands allowed. In the event of arrest of the petitioner, he be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer and that he will abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

July 04, 2017

aarti

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No