

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-18301 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.05.2017

Sukhpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C., praying for the issuance of directions to the respondents herein to protect life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members.

Counsel would submit that the petitioner has solemnised a love marriage with Simranpreet Kaur. The elders and relatives of Simranpreet Kaur are opposed to the matrimonial alliance. In the petition, it is averred that grandmother and father of Simranpreet Kaur are repeatedly threatening the petitioner and his family members of dire consequences. Further contended that on account of such circumstances, the petitioner alongwith his wife Simranpreet Kaur is now residing separately.

Having heard counsel for the petitioner at length and having perused the pleadings on record, no case for intervention is made out.

The averments contained in the petition are utterly vague. The persons from whom the threat is allegedly perceived, are not even party respondents herein.

During the course of hearing, counsel for the petitioner has admitted that on a previous occasion, a protection petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. had been filed in this Court jointly at the hands of the present petitioner and his wife Simranpreet Kaur in which, appropriate directions have been issued and the petition was disposed of.

No further directions are required to be passed in the present case.

Dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

22.05.2017
sk

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No