

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-18299 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 13.11.2017.

Ajit Singh and another ... Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Suneet Deol, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. AG Punjab
assisted by ASI Narinder Singh.

None for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438

Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 21 dated 21.04.2017
registered under Section 306 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station
GRP Pathankot, District Pathankot.

On 22.05.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“The petitioners are parents-in-law of the deceased-Ravinder Kaur
who committed suicide by putting herself in the railway track
between Gurdaspur and Dinanagar. The allegation in the FIR is that
on account of miscarriage, she was being harassed by her in-laws.
However, there is no allegation for demand of dowry and there is
no suicide note was found in this regard.

Notice of motion for 04.08.2017.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to join investigation
and appear before the investigating officer. In the event of arrest,
the petitioners be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction

of the arresting/investigating officer. However, the petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall remain bound by the following conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

1. Petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. Petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
3. Petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;
4. Such other condition as may be imposed under subsection (3) of section 437, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 22.05.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 22.05.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioners to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

13.11.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No