

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**CRM-M-19184-2016
Date of Decision: 20.09.2017**

Paras Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Samita Sharma

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv K. Trikha, Advocate,
for the respondent.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a complaint case bearing No. 21-3 of 2015, under Sections 307, 376, 498-A, 452, 448, 352, 313, 506, 323 read with section 34 IPC, summoned under Sections 307, 376, 498-A, 448, 352, 313, 506, 323/34 IPC by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ferozepur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he being the husband has been summoned in a complaint case. During the pendency of the instant petition he had been directed to appear before the Mediation Cell. He along with respondent Samita Sharma had been directed to appear before the Mediation Cell which was not fruitful. The respondent had also preferred the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu

Marriage Act, in which decree of divorce has been granted in her favour by the District Judge, Ferozepur on 22.05.2017.

It is argued that in pursuance to the order dated 28.05.2015, the petitioner had already appeared before the trial Court in the complaint case and has furnished his bail bonds and surety bonds before the Ilaqa Magistrate on 09.06.2016.

Since the petitioner has joined the proceedings and has been enlarged on bail, the order dated 28.05.2015 by which the petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court is made absolute.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

September 20, 2017
jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No