

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. 18255 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: May 30, 2017

Savita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gazi Mohd.Umair, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG., Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that he made a statement on an erroneous plea on the last date of hearing, that the petitioner is 19 years of age as recorded in the order dated 22.5.2017.

The petitioner had been admitted to anticipatory bail by this Court only because of the young age projected on the last date of hearing, even though allegations against her were serious. Now it being stated that she is 26 years old, without making any opinion on the merits of the case as it would be a matter of investigation and trial if it goes to that stage, I see no reason to continue with the interim order, which is hereby vacated.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 30, 2017
archana

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/no
Whether reportable: Yes/no