

**CRM-M-18247-2017 and
CRM-M-21156-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 07.09.2017

1. CRM-M-18247-2017

Gurjant Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-21156-2017

Angrej Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Sheena Khanna, Advocate for
Mr. Harinder Pal Singh Ishar, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-18247-2017.

Mr. Rishu Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-21156-2017.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-18247-2017, filed by
petitioner-Gurjant Singh and CRM-M-21156-2017, filed by petitioner-
Angrej Singh, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973

**CRM-M-18247-2017 and
CRM-M-21156-2017**

(for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.103 dated 28.12.2016, registered at Police Station City-I, Mansa, District Mansa, under Section 6 of the East Punjab Control of Bricks Supplies Act, 1949 and Section 7 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that allegation against the petitioners is that they were running brick-kiln without any valid licence. However, case of the petitioners is that earlier, they were having valid licence for running the brick-kiln, which was suspended and they filed an appeal. Now, licence has been again issued to the petitioners.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 19.05.2017 passed in CRM-M-18247-2017 and 05.06.2017 passed in CRM-M-21156-2017 by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The interim orders dated 19.05.2017 passed in CRM-M-18247-2017 and 05.06.2017 passed in CRM-M-21156-

**CRM-M-18247-2017 and
CRM-M-21156-2017**

2017 by this Court, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

07.09.2017
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No