

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18225-2017

Date of Decision: 26.05.2017

Suraj Kumar M.C.

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not actually a beneficiary of any suit property and has only identified the owner thereof, on the strength of the Aadhar Card produced by the actual owners' son, showing another lady to be impersonating as the owner of the property, the petitioner being a municipal councillor had a larger responsibility on his shoulders, to ensure that he was not simply identifying somebody in routine, without actually knowing who the person was.

Dismissed.

However, any application filed by the petitioner seeking bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. would be dealt with on its own merits, this petition having been dismissed because it is not a case where anticipatory bail should be granted.

May 26, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.