

283 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 19117 of 2016
Date of Decision: 20.09.2017**

Anshu @ Anshul Kalyan and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

Ms. Malvika Singh, A.A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

None for respondent No. 2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No. 62, dated 13.04.2016, under Sections 324, 323, 341 and 326 of the Indian Penal Code (Section 326 of the Indian Penal Code added later), registered at Police Station Division No. 2, District Jalandhar, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a

settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, vide report dated 31.08.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is not present nor he is represented by his counsel. However, he has made a statement on 23.08.2017, before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, whereby he has admitted the factum of compromise out of his free will and without any pressure and deposed that he has no objection if the said F.I.R. is quashed against the accused persons.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”**, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”**, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No. 62, dated 13.04.2016, under Sections 324, 323, 341 and 326 of the Indian Penal Code (Section 326 of the Indian Penal Code added later),

registered at Police Station Division No. 2, District Jalandhar, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

20.09.2017

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No