

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.304

**(1)**

**FAO No.2351 of 1995  
Date of decision : 04.08.2017**

Surinder Kumar and another

..... Appellants

VERSUS

Anita Rani and others

..... Respondents

**(2)**

**FAO No.2352 of 1995**

Surinder Kumar and another

..... Appellants

VERSUS

Devi and others

..... Respondents

**(3)**

**FAO No.2353 of 1995**

Surinder Kumar and another

..... Appellants

VERSUS

Darshana Devi and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate for  
Mr. Ravinder Arora, Advocate  
for the appellants.

Mr. Arvind Bansal, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 to 5.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

By this common order, I propose to dispose of three FAOs bearing Nos.2351, 2352 and 2353 of 1995 as common questions of law and fact are involved.

The accident took place on 20.11.1991. Three different trucks were involved in the accident, which took place near village Siwah on the

G.T. Road. Truck No.JKU-1956 was being driven from Delhi towards Jammu. Truck No.HRA-9747 was coming from the opposite direction and was followed by truck No.HNX-4118. The accident took place because truck No.HNX-4118 hit truck No.HRA-9747 from behind resulting in collision with truck No.JKU-1956. Both the drivers of Truck No.JKU-1956, namely, Joginder Singh and Dilawar Singh, as well as Krishan Chand driver of truck No.HRA-9774 died.

Three separate claim petitions were preferred by the legal representatives of the deceased persons, namely, Joginder Singh, Dilawar Singh and Krishan Chand. The claim petitions were allowed and compensation as granted by the learned Tribunal, Panipat was also paid to the claimants.

The present appeals have been filed by the owner and the insurance company jointly.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and I am of the opinion that the present appeals deserve to be dismissed. The claimants have not preferred any appeals against the award dated 09.05.1995 passed by the learned Tribunal, Panipat for enhancement. They have already been paid the awarded compensation and learned counsel representing the appellants has not been able to point out any perversity or illegality in the impugned judgment.

Therefore, the appeals are dismissed and the award dated 09.05.1995 passed by the learned Tribunal, Panipat is upheld.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**August 04, 2017**  
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No