

CRM-M-18184-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18184-2017

Date of Decision: 23.08.2017

Jagwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S.Khiva, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurinder Bhandari and Mr. Abnash Singh, Advocates,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Jagwinder Singh has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.24 dated 02.02.2017, registered at Police Station Mataur, District Mohali, under Sections 304, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-18184-2017

From the perusal of FIR, it shows that there was no intention to kill Harpreet Singh Teenu @ Happy. The only allegation against the present petitioner is that he alongwith others left Harpreet Singh Teenu in Phase 3-A, Mohali near Hanuman Park, rather neither got him admitted in the hospital nor left him at the house.

Learned State counsel stated that as per the FSL report, no poison was found in viscera, rather excessive liquor was found.

The petitioner has been in custody since 31.03.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

23.08.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No