

101+204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18092 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 21, 2017

Harmeet Kaur and another

.....Applicants-Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the applicants-petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

CRM No.25871 of 2017

Heard.

Application is allowed subject to all just exceptions and
Annexure P-10 is taken on record.

CRM-M-18092 of 2017

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.42 dated 22.04.2017, under Sections 420, 406,
120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station
Amargarh, District Sangrur, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail. This
Court had made an order dated 19.05.2017 granting *ad interim*
anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official

states that the petitioners have joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 19.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 21, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No