

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. M 18989 of 2016
Date of decision: 18.05.2017

Pankaj Verma and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Yash Dev Kaushik, Advocate
for petitioners No. 1 to 3

Mr. Gourav Gaur, Advocate
for petitioner No. 4/complainant

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J.

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), petitioners No. 1 to 3 have prayed for quashing of FIR No. 23 dated 29.02.2016 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 316, 323, 406 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered with Police Station Women, District Faridabad and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.04.2016 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Counsel for petitioners No.1 to 3 states that petitioners No. 1 to 3 and petitioner No. 4 (complainant) have mutually decided to resolve their

personal differences and also decided to part ways vide compromise deed dated 19.04.2016.

Vide order dated 28.05.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of report of the Court below.

Counsel for the complainant has conceded to the position.

A perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '*Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*', 2012(4) *R.C.R. (Criminal)* 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 23 dated 29.02.2016 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 316, 323, 406 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC registered with Police Station

Women, District Faridabad and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.04.2016, stand quashed qua petitioners No.1 to 3.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

18.05.2017
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
whether reportable	:	Yes/No